

Oct 1 2003 8:54 P.01

BAKER BOTTS LLP

Fax receipt will not be confirmed by phone unless requested.

2001 ROSS AVENUE
DALLAS, TEXAS
75201-2980
214.953.6500
FAX 214.953.6503
www.bakerbotts.com

AUSTIN
BAK
DALLAS
HOUSTON
LONDON
MOSCOW
NEW YORK
RIYADH
WASHINGTON

TO	Examiner Mirza	FAX NO.	(703) 746-3701
FIRM/COMPANY	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	VOICE NO.	(703) 305-4633
FROM	Samir A. Bhavsar	VOICE NO.	214.953.6581
RETURN TO	Sandra Muller	PERSONAL FAX NO.	214.661.4581
		DATE	October 1, 2003
		PAGES (including cover)	2

MESSAGE

Notice of Confidentiality

The information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile is: 1. Subject to the Attorney-client Privilege; 2. Attorney Work Product; or 3. Confidential. It is intended only for the individual or entity designated above. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying, or use of or reliance upon the information contained in and transmitted with this facsimile by or to anyone other than the recipient designated above by the sender is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify Baker Botts LLP by telephone at 214.953.6740 immediately. Any facsimile erroneously transmitted to you should be immediately returned to the sender by U.S. Mail or, if authorization is granted by the sender, destroyed.

If you do not receive all pages, please call: 214.953.6566 or 214.953.6740 for assistance.

BILLING NO 062891.0320 ATTORNEY/EMPLOYEE NO 94541

CRITICAL DEADLINE, SEND BY

Patent Application 09/436,920 – Examiner Interview Points of Discussion:

Claims 1, 7, 21 –

1. Identify “first interface card,” “second interface card,” “management card,” and “client” in Flood. I want to know specifically what elements of Flood you equate to these claim elements.
2. The “command” referred to in Flood, col. 5, ll. 21-26 is sent by a first “program execution module 18” to a second “program execution module 18” not from a “client” to a “management card” as recited in Claim 1. Moreover, the “command” cited in Flood has nothing to do with “identifying an interface card or a network device associated with an interface card” as recited in Claim 1. Instead, the “command” in Flood is an identification of a “next step” of a “function chart program” and an “instruction to begin executing this next step.”
3. How does the **development and upload of “control programs”** by the “programming terminal 24” to the “system controller 16” in Flood (col. 4, ll. 50-61) have anything to do with **“establishing a communication link between the client and a particular one of the first interface card and the second interface card selected in response to the command communicated by the client”** as recited in Claim 1? If the communication in Flood is between “programming terminal 24” (which is equated to the “client”) and “system controller 16” (which is equated to a “management card”) then where is the “communication link” between the “client” and the “interface card”?
4. The “communication link” established in Claim 1 is between the “client” and an “interface card.” If you equate the “client” of Claim 1 with the “programming terminal 24” of Flood, you must equate the “communication link” of Claim 1 with “cable 25” (e.g., which connects system controller 16 with programming terminal 24). However, “programming instructions” sent by “local area network 28” to “system controller 16” is over “cable 26” not “cable 25.” Therefore, how can you say that Flood teaches **“communicate management information using the communication link”**?

Claim 5, 12 – see argument 2 above. Where does the “command” of Flood select “one of the first interface card and the second interface card.”

Claim 6, 13 – see argument in last response.

Claim 14 – Identify the “management card” in Flood. Where in this “management card” is the “switch”?

Claims 2, 8 and 15 –

1. Identify the “management card,” the “switch,” the “processor,” and the “memory” of Claim 2 in Flood or Schneider.
2. If you equate “switches 74a and 74b” of Schneider to the “switch” of Claim 2, then identify how the “switches 74a and 74b” form part of a “management card” (Claim 2 says that the “management card comprises ... a switch”).
3. Identify the “mapping information” of Claim 2 in Flood or Schneider.
4. Show me where Flood/Schneider teaches “using the mapping information” to “determine the port” and then “establish a communication link between the client and the determined port” as in Claim 2.

DAL01:758515.1

Privileged and Confidential Information